IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA:

CWP.No.452/2004

Date of Decision :26.4.2007.

Prem Chand Sharma. …Petitioner.

Versus:

Union of India and others. …Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr.Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner:: Mr.H.K.Bhardwaj, Advocate.

For Respondent-2. Mr.Rakesh Jaswal, Advocate

For Respondents1&3. Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Asstt.Solicitor General.

Sanjay Karol, J (Oral).

It is the petitioner's case that even though the Railway Station is situated at village Ghandawal, however, the name given to the Railway Station is Panoh. According to him, the name ought to have been Ghandawal instead of Panoh as the Railway Station is situated within the revenue state of village Ghandawal itself. Mr.Rakesh Jaswal, submits that the decision to name the Railway Station has been taken on the

[1] **Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**

basis of the approval of the Deputy Commissioner of the concerned District. This Court cannot issue any direction except that let the present writ petition be sent as a representation to the authorities concerned including the Deputy Commissioner of the area who shall examine the record himself and submit a report to the Railway Authorities for taking further appropriate action in accordance with law. Learned counsel for the respondents agrees to the same.

The learned counsel for the petitioner may submit a copy of this writ petition to the concerned authority. The Deputy Commissioner shall submit his views within 12 weeks to the respondents who shall take decision thereupon within four weeks thereafter in accordance with law, if necessary. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. Dasti copy on usual terms.

(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.

April 26, 2007(R)